UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,492	05/23/2005	Andreas Menne		1537
7590 10/07/2008 Diller Ramik & Wight Merrion Square Suite 101			EXAMINER	
			ABRAHAM, SALIEU M	
7345 McWhorter Place Annandale, VA 22003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,492	MENNE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SALIEU M. ABRAHAM	3768
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section for a light sec	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments/Remarks

Examiner acknowledges amendments to claims 1 and 2, cancellation of claims 10 and
 and the addition of new claims 13-16. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are pending in the application.

- 2. Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1-16 filed April 1, 2008 have been fully considered, but are moot in light of new grounds of rejection necessitated by amendments to the claims.
- 3. As a result of the items supra, the instant Office Action is now made final.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "entirely" should be replaced with "entirety".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3768

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 4,727,875 to Dory (Dory) in view of US Pat. No. 5,160,336 to Favre (Favre).

In Reference to Claims 1-12

Dory teaches:

A medical instrument for the treatment of biological tissue, comprising:

a) a means for generating extracorporeal pressure waves, (see abstract, and figure 1)

and

b) a transmission element (2) for coupling the pressure waves into the body of living beings, (see figure 1, reference mark 103)

c) pressure wave coupling to the "transmission element by an impact member (10) hitting a transmission element (2) and the pressure wave propagates in the transmission element (2)" (see figure 1, reference marks 1-2, 103 and L)

However, Dory fails to teach an inwardly curved exit boundary surface for pressure wave coupling into the biological tissue or a horn-shaped transmission element having larger diameter at the exit boundary surface than at an axially opposite entry boundary surface.

In the same field of endeavor, Favre teaches the use of a projectile or ballistic-type shock wave generator for medical purposes that is "of simple and inexpensive construction" (see column 2, lines 5-10 and lines 29-47). Favre further teaches the use of a horn-shaped transmission element to facilitate wave propagation and focusing on the target site (see columns 1, lines 57-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the incorporated the transmission apparatus of Favre including the horn-shaped element in the medical instrument of Dory in order to facilitate pressure wave propagation as taught by Favre.

In Reference to Claim 2

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Favre further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, characterized in that wherein the means for generating the pressure

waves is an impact member (10) guided in a housing and adapted to reciprocated by

means of a drive means,

the impact member (10) exerting one or more impulses on the transmission element (2) and inducing a pressure wave

Page 5

in the transmission element (2) due to the impulse, said pressure wave propagating to the exit boundary surface (19) of the transmission element (2). (see abstract and column 2, lines 29-47).

In Reference to Claim 3

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 2. Favre further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 2, characterized wherein the impact member (10) is arranged coaxially to the transmission element (2) (see figure 1, reference marks 6 and 12).

In Reference to Claim 4

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Favre further discloses:

The medical instrument defined in claim 1, wherein the pressure wave source may be driven periodically, the impact member (10) and the transmission

element (2) being self-returnable. (see figure 1, reference marks 6, 10 and 12) and column 2, lines 35-54).

Art Unit: 3768

In Reference to Claim 5

Krause in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Favre

further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein the impact frequency of the

impact member (10) is about 1 to 30 Hz, preferably 1 to 12 Hz. (see column 2, lines 54-

55).

In Reference to Claim 6

Krause in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Favre

further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein a spring/damping element (15) is

provided between the transmission element (2) and the housing (4). (see figure 1,

reference marks 10, 6 and 9, and 12).

In Reference to Claim 7

Krause in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Favre

further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein the exit boundary surface (19) of

the transmission element (2) travels a stroke of less than 0.5 mm due to the impulse.

(see column 3, lines 10-47)

In Reference to Claim 8

Art Unit: 3768

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Dory

further teaches:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein an intermediate element (9) is arranged between the impact member (10) and the transmission element (2), which intermediate element passes the impulse from the impact member (10) to the

transmission element (2) (see figures 1, reference marks 1-2 and 103).

In Reference to Claim 9

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Dory

further teaches:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein the outer edges of the exit

boundary surface of the transmission element are rounded or provided with a protective

coating (see figures 1, reference mark 103).

In Reference to Claim 12

Dory in view of Favre has been shown to teach all of the limitations of claim 1. Dory

further discloses:

The medical instrument as defined in claim 1, wherein the impedance-adjusting media

(5) are provided between the exit boundary surface (19) of the transmission element (2)

and the biological tissue for improving the coupling of the pressure wave into the

biological tissue. (see figures 1, reference marks L and 103).

In Reference to Claims 13-16

Art Unit: 3768

Dory in view of Favre have been shown to teach substantially all of the cited claim features (see rejections supra). In addition, Dory further teaches wherein the impact member hits an entry boundary face of a transmission element and the impedance – adjusting means is an acoustically conductive medium located next to/around the opening exit boundary surface (see Dory **figure 1**, **reference marks 1**, **2**, **103 and L**).

7. Claims 1-9 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 4,727,875 to Dory (Dory) in view of US Pat. No. 6,036,661 to Schwarze (Schwarze).

In Reference to Claims 1-9 and 12-16

Dory teaches substantially all features in the claims (see claim rejections supra, claims 1-3 and 6-8 and figure 1). However, Dory is silent with regard to an exponential horn-shaped transmission element.

Schwarze, in the same field of endeavor discloses a shockwave apparatus for medical applications that produces focused pressure waves for treating calculi in the body (see abstract). Schwarze further discloses a multiplicity of focus means (to include transmission elements/acoustic lenses) to be applied based upon the desired target location/depth and pressure profile (see column 2, lines 29-41). It would be obvious of one of ordinary skill to employ a horn- or any other similarly shaped or functionally equivalent transmission element in order to customize the resulting pressure wave profile and focus characteristics for a given target/anatomical site as disclosed by Schwarze.

Art Unit: 3768

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salieu M. Abraham whose telephone number is (571) 270-1990. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 9:30 am - 7:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9/30/08 SA /BRIAN CASLER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

Unit 3737